

On the area expectation values in area tensor Regge calculus in the Lorentzian domain

V.M. Khatsymovsky

Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics

Novosibirsk, 630090, Russia

E-mail address: khatsym@inp.nsk.su

Abstract

Wick rotation in area tensor Regge calculus is considered. The heuristical expectation is confirmed that the Lorentzian quantum measure on a spacelike area should coincide with the Euclidean measure at the same argument. The consequence is validity of probabilistic interpretation of the Lorentzian measure as well (on the real, i.e. spacelike areas).

The problem of the relation between the Lorentzian and Euclidean versions of a field theory is especially nontrivial in gravity, namely, in general relativity theory where the Wick rotation means not only formal rescaling time and timelike vector components by $\sqrt{-1}$ but also real change of topology [1]. The discrete counterpart of general relativity, Regge calculus [2] (RC), provides a simplification connected with coordinatelessness of this formulation of general relativity: there is no time coordinate to be scaled by $\sqrt{-1}$; instead, some edge lengths should be made complex (the notion of "time" can arise at the intermediate steps simply as a parameter which labels the 3D layers by ..., 1, 2, 3, ...) [3].

In the present paper we consider Wick rotation in the framework of the approach to quantisation of RC developed in a number of our papers. To begin with, we shortly discuss that quantization problem. Up to now the canonical (Dirac) quantization prescription is considered as a fundamental principle not contradicting to experiment, so let us adhere to it. However, there is a problem to implement it in the discrete theory such as RC because of the lack of a regular continuous coordinate playing the role of time. Although quantum theory still can be formulated with the help of the functional integral in this case, the absence of a strict framework leaves the quantum measure practically arbitrary. In fact, various measures are used in applications (e.g., in phase analysis of simplicial quantum gravity [4, 5]).

Despite of the lack of a continuous coordinate in the completely discrete RC, such the coordinate is present in the limiting so-called (3+1) RC when one of the coordinates is made continuous by shrinking sizes of all the simplices along this coordinate to those infinitely close to zero. Therefore one can try to develop Hamiltonian formalism and canonical quantization and represent the result in the form of the Feynman path integral measure. Next it is natural to ask whether a measure in the original completely discrete RC exists such that one could define the limit of this measure when one of the coordinates is made continuous and the limiting measure would coincide with the above Feynman path (canonical quantization) measure with this continuous coordinate playing the role of time. Equivalence of the different coordinates means that this situation should take place irrespectively of what coordinate is made continuous.

A difficulty with RC in the continuous time limit is that the description of the infinitely flattened in some direction simplex purely in terms of the lengths is singular. The idea is to use description in terms of the variables of the types of both lengths and angles. This might be achieved in the Regge analog of the Hilbert-Palatini form of the Einstein action. The discrete analogs of the tetrad and connection, link vectors and finite rotation matrices, were first considered by Bander [6, 7, 8]. We have found exact representations of the Regge action in terms of link vectors and finite rotation matrices as *independent* variables [9]. This representation results in the exact Regge action if rotation matrices are excluded via equations of motion, that is, on classical level. Rotation matrices are just the desired angle type variables which allow us to formulate continuous time (3+1) RC in a nonsingular way. After that the above strategy can be implemented. In [10] we write out canonical form of RC.

Next we can try to solve the problem of finding measure in the full discrete RC which has the desired continuous time limit corresponding to the canonical quantization

irrespectively of what coordinate is taken as a time and made continuous. Although this last condition is rather restrictive, the problem has solution in 3 dimensions [11]. In 4 dimensions solution can be found for a certain version of the so-called "area RC" where areas are treated as independent variables [12, 13]. Since the number of areas is larger than the number of lengths, this means that the lengths of the same edge defined in the different simplices are in general different, i.e. ambiguous. The configuration superspace of the area RC contains the hypersurface corresponding to the ordinary RC; at the same time it is exactly soluble just as 3D model. Appropriate version in our case is "area tensor RC" with independent *area tensors* (i.e., in particular, in general there are no link vectors corresponding to them). Just the corresponding superspace (extended as compared to that of ordinary RC) is that space on which the measure under above restrictive conditions can be found [14].

Remarkable feature simplifying solution of the above problem of finding full discrete measure for the 3D RC and for the 4D area tensor RC in the tetrad-connection variables is commutativity of the constraints (arising in the Hamiltonian formalism, i.e. in the continuous time limit). These constraints as well as canonical quantization itself are analogous to their completely continuum counterparts. The commuting constraints for the 3D discrete gravity were first deduced by Waelbroeck [15] for general system (not a priori restricted to be RC). Analogous first class system of constraints arises in the area tensor RC in the above mentioned derivation of the measure [14].

Finally, we need to reduce the quantum measure in the extended superspace to the RC hypersurface. The idea is to consider area tensor RC system and ordinary RC system as particular case of the simplicial complex with discontinuous metrics. The point is that the piecewise flat manifold possesses metric whose normal component undergoes discontinuity when passing across any 3D face, but the tangential components remain unchanged. Now we go further and consider system where tangential components of metric are also discontinuous. It is the system with independent simplices which do not necessarily fit each other on their common faces. In the superspace of all the simplicial discontinuous metrics RC corresponds to the hypersurface singled out by conditions of the tangential metric continuity on the faces. The problem is to reduce the above constructed quantum measure in "area tensor RC" to this hypersurface. For that some δ -function-like factor is introduced in the measure which fixes equality of tangential metric across any face. This factor is found in our paper [16] by using the principle of "minimum of the lattice artefacts". Namely, we require that the factor should not depend on the form and size of any face across which metrics are compared, only on the hyperplane in which the given face is placed. We show that such the factor preserving equivalence of the different simplices exists and is unique. Consequences of the viewpoint on area RC (now not tensor one) as a system with discontinuous metrics were also discussed in [17].

It is quite natural that at the intermediate stage of our construction in area tensor RC the measure is factorizable (on certain conditions) since area tensors are here independent just as edge vectors in the (exactly soluble) 3D model, the typical factor as applied to averaging a function $f(\pi)$ of a given area tensor π being of the form (in the case of the Euclidean spacetime signature)

$$\langle f(\pi) \rangle = \int f(-i\pi) d^6\pi \int e^{i\pi \circ R} \mathcal{D}R. \quad (1)$$

Here rotation of the integration contours used to define integral is performed via substitution of the integration (dummy) variables $\pi \rightarrow -i\pi$. The integration variable R is $\text{SO}(4)$ matrix, $A \circ B \equiv A^{ab}B_{ab}/2$. The formula (1) looks reasonable from path integral and symmetry viewpoint: $\pi \circ R$ reminds Regge action in the sense that it (for independent tensors π^{ab}) gives the same constraints $R = 0$ arising in the canonical formalism; $d^6\pi$ and $\mathcal{D}R$ are the invariant Lebesgue and Haar measures. Upon splitting antisymmetric matrices into self- and antiselfdual ones like

$$\pi_{ab} \equiv \frac{1}{2} {}^{+}\pi_k {}^{+}\Sigma_{ab}^k + \frac{1}{2} {}^{-}\pi_k {}^{-}\Sigma_{ab}^k \quad (2)$$

(the basis of self- and antiselfdual matrices $i {}^{\pm}\Sigma_{ab}^k$ obeys the Pauli matrix algebra) the formula (1) reads

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f(\pi) \rangle &= \int f(\pi) \frac{\nu(|{}^{+}\pi|)}{|{}^{+}\pi|^2} \frac{\nu(|{}^{-}\pi|)}{|{}^{-}\pi|^2} \frac{d^3 {}^{+}\pi}{4\pi} \frac{d^3 {}^{-}\pi}{4\pi}, \\ \nu(l) &= \frac{l}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \frac{d\varphi}{\sin^2 \varphi} e^{-l/\sin \varphi}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

This is positive measure which gives finite (due to exponential cut-off) nonzero expectation values of positive powers of area (and even of negative powers $|\pi|^k$ at $k > -2$, $|\pi| \equiv (\pi \circ \pi)^{1/2}$) which thus are certain numbers in the Plank units. Further, "glueing" together neighbouring 4-simplices on their common faces [16] we can go over from the considered area tensor RC to the genuine RC and make qualitative scaling estimate showing that the length expectation values are finite nonzero [18]. The properties of the measure such as positivity and finite nonzero area (length) expectation values remain true.

Also note the following. If the matrices R in the formula (1) were substituted by their continuum analogs, antisymmetric matrices (elements of the Lie group $\text{so}(4)$), we would have δ -function-like measure and *zero* expectation values for nonconstant monomials in π^{ab} . Thus, nonzero area expectation values arise due to nonlinearity of the *finite* rotations, i. e. eventually are connected with the discreteness of the theory.

Nonvanishing and finiteness of the area (length) expectation values may speak well for the internal consistency on dynamics level of using the discrete type variables to describe gravity. If these expectations were equal to zero, this would mean that the functional integral is saturated by smooth manifolds which are just the limiting case of the piecewise flat manifolds if edge lengths tend to zero; that is, we would return to the continuum theory.

More detailed and still concise discussion of the above points is given in our work [19].

When passing from Euclidean to the Lorentzian domain the Euclidean area becomes spacelike (real) Lorentzian area, and one would expect that positivity of the measure in the Euclidean domain would correspond to positivity in the Lorentzian domain on real areas. The technical complication is that now self- and antiselfdual parts of area tensors and of the rotation matrices are, first, complex, second, are related to each other by complex conjugation. Therefore a more careful analysis is required.

Note that integration over the invariant measure is representable as

$$\int f(R) \mathcal{D}R = \int f(m) \delta^{10}(\eta^{ab} m_{af} m_{bg} - \eta_{fg}) d^{16}m, \quad (4)$$

where $\eta_{ab} = \text{diag}(\pm 1, 1, 1, 1)$ in the Euclidean/Lorentzian case. Invariance of this measure is evident¹. Representing δ -function in (4) as the Fourier transform over a 10-component variable symmetrical matrix λ^{ab} we can easily perform Gaussian integration over m_{ab} . As a result, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int e^{i\pi \circ R} \mathcal{D}R &= \int \exp \left[\frac{i}{2} \pi^{ab} m_{ab} + i \lambda^{ab} (\eta^{fg} m_{af} m_{bg} - \eta_{ab}) \right] d^{16}m_{ab} d^{10}\lambda^{ab} \\ &= \int \frac{d^{10}\lambda^{ab}}{(\det \lambda^{ab})^2} \exp \left[-\frac{i}{16} \pi^{fa} \pi^{gb} \eta_{fg} (\lambda^{-1})_{ab} - i \eta_{ab} \lambda^{ab} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

This is in some sense a matrix analog of a Bessel function. Let us make the formal substitution of the variables $\lambda^{ab} = \sum_{f,g} (\eta^{-1/2})^{af} (\eta^{-1/2})^{bg} \Lambda^{fg}$ where for definiteness $(\eta^{\pm 1/2})^{ab} = \text{diag}(\pm i, 1, 1, 1)$ for the Lorentzian case. The result reads

$$\begin{aligned} \int e^{i\pi \circ R} \mathcal{D}R &= \\ \int \frac{d^{10}\Lambda^{ab}}{(\det \Lambda^{ab})^2} \exp \left[-\frac{i}{16} \sum_{c,d} \pi^{fc} \pi^{gd} (\eta^{1/2})^{ca} (\eta^{1/2})^{db} \eta_{fg} (\Lambda^{-1})_{ab} - i \delta_{ab} \Lambda^{ab} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Real λ^{ab} imply purely imaginary Λ^{0i} ($i = 1, 2, 3$). Let us make rotations in the complex plane to the purely real Λ^{0i} . The possibility to proceed in such the way is provided by the sufficiently rapidly decreasing expression under the integral sign at $|\Lambda^{0i}| \rightarrow \infty$ both separately for each i and simultaneously. Note that the dangerous in this respect exponential factor $\exp(-i\delta_{ab}\Lambda^{ab})$ does not contain Λ^{0i} while $\det \Lambda^{ab}$ is bilinear in each Λ^{0i} at almost all the values of the rest of variables. As a result, integrations over the circles of a large radius $|\Lambda^{0i}| = \text{const} \rightarrow \infty$ result in zero at almost all the values of the rest of variables Λ^{ab} . Therefore Λ^{ab} in (6) can be considered real.

An advantage of the formula (6) is that the same expression can be found also for the Euclidean case, the transition between these two consisting in substitution of Lorentzian $\sum_{c,d} \pi^{fc} \pi^{gd} (\eta^{1/2})^{ca} (\eta^{1/2})^{db} \eta_{fg}$ by the Euclidean $-\pi^{fa} \pi^{fb}$ and vice versa (remind that the exponent is monotonic at genuine real area tensor π in the latter case, not oscillating as at earlier used analytical continuation $\pi \rightarrow -i\pi$). One of the conditions imposed when passing from the area tensor Regge calculus (where π are independent tensors) to the ordinary Regge calculus (that is to say, on physical surface) is $\pi * \pi \equiv \pi^{ab} \pi^{cd} \epsilon_{abcd} / 4 = 0$, therefore $\pi^{ab} \pi_{ab}$ is the only scalar which could be constructed of π^{ab} . Thereby this is what should be replaced by $-\pi^{ab} \pi_{ab}$ when passing from the Euclidean metric to

¹In fact, originally in our three-dimensional analysis [11] the invariant measure just arises in the form analogous to (4) upon introducing, as in [15], the variables $P_{ab} = l^c \Omega_a^f \epsilon_{cfb}$ and Ω^{ab} for each edge which are canonically conjugate in the usual sense. Then we get δ -functions in the functional integral which take into account the II class constraints on P , Ω , namely, $\delta^6(\bar{\Omega}\Omega - 1) \delta^6(\bar{\Omega}P + \bar{P}\Omega)$. The invariant measure $Dl D\Omega$ follows upon integrating these δ -functions

the Lorentzian one. Thus we find the same exponential cut-off factor in the Lorentzian measure as $\nu(|\boldsymbol{\pi}|)$ in the Euclidean one,

$$\int e^{i\pi \circ R} \mathcal{D}R \Rightarrow \frac{\nu(|\boldsymbol{\pi}|)^2}{|\boldsymbol{\pi}|^4}, \quad \nu(l) = \frac{l}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \frac{d\varphi}{\sin^2 \varphi} e^{-l/\sin \varphi}, \quad (7)$$

but with the argument

$$|\boldsymbol{\pi}| = \sqrt{\sum_i (\pi^{0i})^2 - \sum_{i<j} (\pi^{ij})^2}. \quad (8)$$

If π^{ab} is timelike, i.e. the components π^{0i} dominate, we find still positive measure. According to the definition of π^{ab} as dual tensor, this corresponds to the spacelike (real) area. If the area is imaginary (timelike), the measure oscillates and is even complex and does not admit the usual probabilistic interpretation. Physically, this does not seem surprising since not all the areas (or lengths) *must* fluctuate, some of them should be fixed by hand to specify triangulation (in analogy with gauge lapse-shift vectors in the ordinary continuum general relativity). At the same time, the set of functions of $\pi^{ab}\pi_{ab}$ on which the measure (as functional) is positive seems to be even larger than in the Euclidean case. This set includes, first, positive functions with support on the (negative) semiaxis of $\pi^{ab}\pi_{ab}$, such as $-\pi^{ab}\pi_{ab}\theta(-\pi^{ab}\pi_{ab})$ (θ is Heaviside function) as it follows from the considered explicit positivity of the measure on this semiaxis. Second, rotation of contours in the complex plane of π^{ab} immediately in the Lorentzian expectation value $\langle f(-\pi^{ab}\pi_{ab}) \rangle$ reduces this value to the Euclidean $\langle f(\pi^{ab}\pi_{ab}) \rangle$; therefore the measure is positive also on the *analytical* functions positive on the negative semiaxis of $\pi^{ab}\pi_{ab}$, e. g. $-\pi^{ab}\pi_{ab}$.

Thus, the quantum measure is positive on the area tensors $\pi_{\sigma^2}^{ab}$ spacelike w.r.t. the local frame indices. On the other hand, the notation for the triangle σ^2 in the index of $\pi_{\sigma^2}^{ab}$ can be considered as an analog of the world index, or, more accurately, a pair of such indices. An analog of the spacelike components of area tensor w.r.t. the world index are $\pi_{\sigma^2}^{ab}$ for the leaf/diagonal triangles σ^2 . Now we observe consistency between the properties of the quantum measure on area tensor w.r.t. the local indices and w.r.t. the world indices of this tensor. Indeed, earlier we have found that integrations over $d^6\pi_{\sigma^2}$ are present in the measure not for all σ^2 [14] (otherwise we could not, e.g., normalize the measure: integrations of $\exp(i\pi_{\sigma^2} \circ R_{\sigma^2})$ would give the product of δ -functions of (the antisymmetric part of) R_{σ^2} which are not independent due to the Bianchi identities [2], now for the matrices $R_{\sigma^2}(\Omega)$). Some regular choice is that $d^6\pi_{\sigma^2}$ are present in the measure only for the leaf and diagonal triangles σ^2 (or, rather, this can serve a definition of what do we call the leaf/diagonal triangles). Correspondingly, only $\pi_{\sigma^2}^{ab}$ for the leaf and diagonal triangles do fluctuate. It is important that the quantum measure be positive on the sufficiently large subspace of possible fluctuations of those objects which do fluctuate. This just takes place: the areas fluctuate which are spacelike in the world index, that is, from 3D subset, and the quantum measure is positive also on the spacelike areas, now w.r.t. the local frame index, that is, again on 3D subspace (or, taking into account also the Euclidean case, not smaller than 3D subspace). It looks surprising that consistent are the things which seem to have perfectly different origins.

Namely, properties of the quantum measure w.r.t. the world index of area tensor are consequence of the structure of the Bianchi identities on the curvature matrices R_{σ^2} , whereas considered in the present paper properties of the quantum measure w.r.t. the local frame index refer to the dependence of the measure on a single area. The analogous situation had been encountered also in the three-dimensional model [11].

The present work was supported in part by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research through Grant No. 05-02-16627-a.

References

- [1] S.W. Hawking, Nucl. Phys. B, **144**, 349 (1978).
- [2] T. Regge, Nuovo Cimento, **19**, 558 (1961).
- [3] R.M. Williams, Class. Quantum Grav., **3**, 853 (1986).
- [4] H. Hamber and R.M. Williams, Nucl. Phys. B, **267**, 482 (1986).
- [5] H. Hamber and R.M. Williams, Nucl. Phys. B, **269**, 712 (1986).
- [6] M. Bander, Phys. Rev. Lett., **57**, 1825 (1986).
- [7] M. Bander, Phys. Rev. D, **36**, 2297 (1987).
- [8] M. Bander, Phys. Rev. D, **38**, 1056 (1988).
- [9] V.M. Khatsymovsky, Class. Quantum Grav., **6**, L249 (1989).
- [10] V.M. Khatsymovsky, Gen. Rel. Grav., **27**, 583 (1995), gr-qc/9310004.
- [11] V.M. Khatsymovsky, Class. Quantum Grav., **11**, 2443 (1994), gr-qc/9310040.
- [12] J.W. Barrett, M. Roček and R.M. Williams, Class. Quantum Grav., **16**, 1373 (1999), gr-qc/9710056.
- [13] T. Regge and R.M. Williams, Journ. Math. Phys., **41**, 3964 (2000), gr-qc/0012035.
- [14] V.M. Khatsymovsky, Phys. Lett., **560B**, 245 (2003), gr-qc/0212110.
- [15] H. Waelbroeck, Class. Quantum Grav., **7**, 751 (1990).
- [16] V.M. Khatsymovsky, Phys. Lett., **567B**, 288 (2003), gr-qc/0304006.
- [17] C. Wainwright and R.M. Williams, Class. Quantum Grav., **21**, 4865 (2004), gr-qc/0405031.
- [18] V.M. Khatsymovsky, Phys. Lett., **586B**, 411 (2004), gr-qc/0401053.
- [19] V.M. Khatsymovsky, Journ. Exp. Theor. Phys., **101**, 420 (2005), gr-qc/0506071.